

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,143	WATSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	

All Participants:

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) Ruth E. Tyler-Cross (Reg. No. 45,922).

Status of Application: Non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2006

Time: 5:56PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that claim 12 have minor informalities problem and further requires the insertion of the determining step similar to claim 1 to provide for the necessary practical application to overcome 101 rejection, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claim as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment .